## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Ī | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|-------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/586,697        | ROSMAN ET AL. |  |  |  |  |
|   | Examiner          | Art Unit      |  |  |  |  |
|   | MICHAEL VAN LENTE | 1773          |  |  |  |  |

|   | MICHAEL VAN LENTE  | 1773  |                         |  |  |
|---|--|---|-------------------------|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence add                            | ress                    |  |  |
| HE REPLY FILED 18 March 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |  |   |                         |  |  |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, afficiatry, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3 a Request for Continued Examination (RGE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  |  |   |                         |  |  |
| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing     The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti                   | on.                     |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or<br>TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  | 06.07(f).  |   |                         |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL |  |   |                         |  |  |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br>AMENDMENTS   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                        |                         |  |  |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  | out prior to the date of filing a brief.   | , will <u>not</u> be entered b                | ecause                  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co   | w);  |   |                         |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel<br/>appeal; and/or</li> </ul>  |  |   | the issues for          |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a<br>NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  | corresponding number of finally rej  | ected claims.                                 |                         |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> </ol>  |  | mpliant Amendment                             | (PTOL-324).             |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |  |   |                         |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  |  |   |                         |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |   |                         |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: 2-24.   |  |   |                         |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 26-28.   |  |   |                         |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |                         |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |  |   |                         |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S     | al and/or appellant fa<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)( | ils to provide a<br>1). |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |  |   |                         |  |  |
| 11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but Please see attached correspondence.   | t does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowa                        | nce because:            |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |                         |  |  |
| 13. Other:  |  |   |                         |  |  |
| /Jill Warden/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1773   |  |   |                         |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office